Administrative Program Review Rubric University of La Verne 5/18/2011

Categories	Accomplished 4	Developed 3	Developing 2	Undeveloped 1
A. Executive Summary	Very well organized with a flowing narrative that identifies all goals and objectives, highlights program's salient characteristics and capacity, briefly describes all the indicators and assessment procedures, summarizes major findings identifying deficits, and lists all the action recommendations tied to the findings in order of priority	Organized well using a narrative that mentions most goals and objectives, identifies some program characteristics and capacity, briefly highlights most of the indicators or assessment procedures, summarizes the major findings, and identifies most of the action recommendations tied to the findings without priority	Uses a narrative that mentions several goals and objectives and few program characteristics, highlights only some of the indicators or assessment procedures in general term, mentions some of the findings without specifics, and identifies few of the action recommendations with unclear ties to findings	Not well organized, perhaps using bullets, skips around and misses significant elements of the review, does not mention specific assessment procedures or findings, and recommendations sound like a wish list with unclear ties to findings
B. Goals, Objectives and/or Learning Outcomes	All goals, objectives and/or learning outcomes are specific, phrased using action words in measurable terms, and include stakeholder satisfaction	All goals, objectives and/or learning outcomes are fairly specific, are phrased using action words with some exceptions, are stated in measurable terms, and include stakeholder satisfaction	Most goals are fairly specific, but few are phrased using action words, few are stated in measurable terms, and do not include stakeholder satisfaction	Most goals are stated in general terms lacking specifics, are phrased using non-action words, few are stated in measurable terms, and do not include stakeholder satisfaction
C. Capacity and Program Description	Program's capacity is very well described and documented with evidence, identifies staffing and personnel with an organizational chart and turnover issues, describes and evaluates the resources and facilities, and trends the budget	Program's capacity is adequately described and documented with evidence, identifies staffing and personnel with an organizational chart and turnover issues, and merely describes the resources and facilities, and trends the budget	Program's capacity is adequately described but lacks documentation, identifies staffing without an organizational chart and merely mentions the adequacy or lack of resources and facilities, and provides the last budget without trending	Program's capacity is inadequately described and lacks documentation, mentions adequacy or lack of staffing, and merely mentions the adequacy or lack of resources and facilities
D. Indicators and Assessment Procedures	Several direct and indirect performance measures or indicators are used, address all goals and objectives, and input from external and internal stakeholders are obtained with surveys and/or focus groups	At least one direct and one indirect performance measure or indicator is used, address all goals and objectives, and input from external stakeholders are obtained with surveys and/or focus groups	No direct performance measures or indicators are used, and input from stakeholders are obtained anecdotally	No direct performance measures or indicators are used, and input from stakeholders are not obtained

Administrative Program Review Rubric University of La Verne 5/18/2011

E. Findings	Data are presented in easy to read format (Tables and graphs) and narrative that explicitly and systematically address each of the goals, objectives and/or learning outcomes, degree of attainment of each is correctly inferred from the data, and all areas of strengths as well as deficits that need improvement are clearly and explicitly identified	Data are presented in easy to read format (Tables and graphs) and narrative that address each of the goals, objectives and/or learning outcomes, degree of attainment of each is correctly inferred from the data, and areas of deficit that need improvement are identified in general terms	Data are presented in tables and graphs with minimal narrative, and superficially address each of the goals, objectives and/or learning outcomes, degree of attainment of each is mentioned in general terms, and areas of deficit that need improvement are identified in general terms	Data are presented in tables and graphs with almost no narrative, and fails to relate the findings specifically to the goals, objectives and/or learning outcomes, degree of attainment of each learning outcome is mentioned in general terms, and areas of deficit that need improvement are not mentioned
F. Action Recommendations	All recommendations are evidence based, and areas of deficit in resources and functions are targeted for improvement with accompanying action plans with timelines	All recommendations are evidence based, and areas of deficit in resources and functions are targeted for improvement with action plans to be developed or not mentioned	Most recommendations are evidence based, but several sound like wishes not supported by evidence, and several areas of deficit are not targeted for improvement	Most recommendations are not evidence based and sound like a wish list for resources, and most areas of deficit are not targeted for improvement
G. Appendices	All appendices are labeled clearly, add value to the text and are appropriately referred to in the text	All appendices are labeled clearly and are appropriately referred to in the text	Most appendices are labeled clearly but not all are appropriately referred to in the text	Appendices are not labeled, seem like an afterthought, and most are not referred to in the text