

University of La Verne

Prepared 2025-07-25 IPEDS: 117140



### **About This Report**

## **About Your High-Impact Practices Report**

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." High-Impact Practices (HIPs) share several traits: They demand considerable time and effort, facilitate learning outside of the classroom, require meaningful interactions with faculty and students, encourage collaboration with diverse others, and provide frequent and substantive feedback. As a result, participation in these practices has the potential to be very influential and rewarding (Kilgo et al., 2015; Kuh, 2008). NSSE founding director George Kuh recommends that institutions should aspire for all students to participate in at least two HIPs over the course of their undergraduate experience—one during the first year and one in the context of their major (NSSE, 2007).

NSSE asks students about their participation in the six HIPs shown in the box at right. Unlike most questions on the NSSE survey, the HIP questions are not limited to the current school year. Thus, senior students' responses include participation from prior years.

#### **High-Impact Practices in NSSE**

#### **Service-Learning**

Courses that included a community-based project

#### **Learning Community**

Formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together

#### **Research with Faculty**

Work with a faculty member on a research project

#### **Internship or Field Experience**

Internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement

#### **Study Abroad**

#### **Culminating Senior Experience**

Capstone course, senior project or thesis, portfolio, recital, comprehensive exam, etc.

## **Report Sections**

Participation Comparisons (p. 3)

Displays HIP participation for your students compared with that of students at your comparison group institutions. Two views present insights into your students' HIP participation:

#### Overall HIP Participation

Displays the percentage of students who participated in one HIP and in two or more HIPs, relative to those at your comparison group institutions.

#### **Statistical Comparisons**

Comparisons of participation in each HIP and overall for your students relative to those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes.

Response Detail (pp. 4-5)

Provides complete response frequencies for the relevant HIP questions for your students and those at your comparison group institutions. First-year results include a summary of their expectations for future HIP participation.

Participation by Student Social Identities and Experiences (p. 6-End)

Displays your students' participation in each HIP by selected student social identities and experiences.

#### **Interpreting Comparisons**

HIP participation varies more among students within an institution than it does between institutions, like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on overall participation rates amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It is equally important to understand how student engagement (including HIP participation) varies within your institution. The table beginning on page 6 provides an initial look at how HIP participation varies by selected student social identities and experiences. Your NSSE Tableau dashboard and Report Builder (released in the fall) offer further perspectives on internal variation to help you investigate your students' HIP participation in depth.

Kilgo, C. A., Sheets, J. K. E., & Pascarella, E. T. (2015). The link between high-impact practices and student learning: Some longitudinal evidence. *Higher Education*, 69, 509-525. Kuh, G. D. (2008). *High-impact educational practices: What they are, who has access to them, and why they matter.* Association of American Colleges and Universities. National Survey of Student Engagement (2007). *Experiences that matter: Enhancing student learning and success—Annual Report 2007*. Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research.


Rocconi, L.M., & Gonyea, R.M. (2018). Contextualizing effect sizes in the National Survey of Student Engagement: An empirical analysis. *Research & Practice in Assessment, 13* (Summer/Fall), pp. 22-38.




# Participation Comparisons University of La Verne

## **Overall HIP Participation**

The figures below display the level of participation of students in High-Impact Practices. Both figures include participation in service-learning, learning communities, and research with faculty. The senior figure also includes participation in internships or field experiences, study abroad, and culminating senior experiences. The first segment in each bar shows the percentage who participated in at least two HIPs, and the full bar (both colors) represents the percentage who participated in at least one.





#### **Statistical Comparisons**

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in a given High-Impact Practice, including the percentage who participated in at least one or in two or more HIPs. It also graphs the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison groups. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group. (Comparison group percentages appear on the following pages.)

		Your students' participation compared with:											
	ULV	Peers West				Peers DocProf				NSSE 2024 & 2025			
First-year	%	Differ	rence <sup>a</sup>		ES b	Diff	erence <sup>a</sup>		ES b	Difference <sup>a</sup>			ES b
Service-Learning	77	+19		***	.41	+22		***	.48	+23		***	.49
Learning Community	19	+8		**	.24	+7		*	.21	+8		**	.23
Research with Faculty	5		-1		05		-1		03		-1		03
Participated in at least one	80	+18		***	.40	+20		***	.45	+21		***	.47
Participated in two or more	19	+8		**	.24	+8		**	.24	+9		***	.27
Senior													
Service-Learning	91	+12		***	.35	+18		***	.48	+30		***	.75
Learning Community	33	+2	)		.05	+6			.13	+11		***	.26
Research with Faculty	30		-6		13	+2	1		.04	+7		**	.16
Internship or Field Exp.	44		-18	***	36		-16	***	32		-4		08
Study Abroad	7		-21	***	57		-17	***	49		-4		14
Culminating Senior Exp.	60		-6	*	13	+5			.10	+15		***	.31
Participated in at least one	95		-1		08	+4		*	.16	+11		***	.37
Participated in two or more	70		-12	***	29		-3		07	+11		***	.23

a. Percentage point differences (institution - comp. group) rounded to whole numbers. Values less than one may not display a bar and may be shown as +0 or -0.

Note: Participation includes the percentage of students who responded "done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "some" courses included a community-based project. Results are unweighted, except for overall percentages which are weighted by sex and enrollment status.

b. Cohen's *h* (standardized difference between two proportions). Effect sizes indicate the practical importance of observed differences. For service-learning, internships, study abroad, and culminating senior experiences, an ES of about .2 may be considered small, .5 medium, and .8 large. For learning community and research with faculty, an ES of about .1 may be considered small, .3 medium, and .5 large (Rocconi & Gonyea, 2018).

<sup>\*</sup>p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001 (z- test comparing participation rates).


**Response Detail** 

## **University of La Verne**

## **First-year students**



About how many of your courses at this institution have included a communitybased project (servicelearning)?





19

10

11

10








#### **Learning Community**

Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together.












### **Research with a Faculty Member**


Work with a faculty member on a research project.












# Plans to Participate<sup>a</sup>

Knowing whether first-year students plan to participate in upper-division HIPs can reveal insights about HIP demand, awareness of opportunities, and the clarity of institutional information. These results might also point to topics for additional exploration, such as what contributes to students' expectations, their assumptions about who can participate, or why other students are undecided or have no plans to participate in the activity.



**Experience** Participate in an internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement.



## Percentage responding "Plan to do"


# **Study Abroad**

Participate in a study abroad program.



## **Culminating Senior Experience**

Complete a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, portfolio, recital, comprehensive exam, etc.).



a. Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons for details on the other response options.


Note: Results are unweighted, except for overall percentages which are weighted by sex and enrollment status.



### **Response Detail**

## **University of La Verne**

#### **Seniors**





# Disaggregated Results University of La Verne

## Participation in High-Impact Practices by Student Social Identities and Experiences

Examining participation rates for different groups offers insight into how engagement varies within your student population. The table below displays participation in each HIP by selected social identities and experiences. Details include the number of HIP participants (N), the number within the group who responded to the item (total), and the group participation percentage (%).

_		First-year		Senior						
	Service-	Learning	Research with	Service-	Learning	Research with	Internship or	Study	Culminating	
	Learning	Community	Faculty	Learning	Community	Faculty	Field Experience	Abroad	Senior Experience	
Major category <sup>a</sup>	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Arts & humanities	4/6 67	0/6 <i>0</i>	0/6 0	9/9 100	5/9 56	2/9 22	2/9 22	2/9 22	8/9 89	
Bio. sci., agric., and natural res.	16/20 80	1/20 5	1/20 5	22/22 100	15/22 68	16/22 73	12/22 55	5/22 23	19/22 86	
Physical sci., math, computer sci.	5/6 83	2/6 33	0/6 0	12/15 80	10/15 67	10/15 67	12/15 80	1/15 7	13/15 87	
Social sciences	18/22 82	5/22 23	0/22 0	51/54 94	19/53 36	20/54 37	40/54 74	5/54 9	42/54 78	
Business	11/17 65	6/16 38	1/17 6	52/55 95	11/55 20	2/55 4	9/55 16	2/55 4	23/55 42	
Communications, media, public rel.	3/4 75	0/4 0	1/4 25	7/7 100	3/7 43	4/7 57	7/7 100	2/7 29	5/7 71	
Education	9/12 75	3/12 25	1/12 8	18/21 86	4/21 19	2/21 10	4/21 19	0/21 0	8/21 38	
Engineering	1/1 100	1/1 100	0/1 0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	
Health professions	5/6 83	0/6 <i>0</i>	1/6 17	13/16 81	5/16 31	4/16 25	8/16 50	0/16 0	9/16 56	
Social service professions	12/15 80	3/15 20	0/15 0	23/24 96	6/24 25	9/24 38	10/24 42	0/24 0	15/24 63	
Undecided/undeclared	1/2 50	0/2 0	0/2 0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	
Transfer status	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Started here	85/111 77	22/110 20	5/111 5	112/118 95	56/117 48	46/118 39	67/118 57	10/118 8	92/118 78	
Started elsewhere	1/2 50	0/2 0	0/2 0	93/104 89	20/104 19	20/104 19	34/104 33	7/104 7	49/104 47	
Enrollment status <sup>b</sup>	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Not full-time	0/0	0/0	0/0	30/35 86	8/35 23	7/35 20	8/35 23	4/35 11	15/35 43	
Full-time	91/122 75	24/121 20	5/122 4	187/199 94	74/197 38	65/199 33	101/198 51	13/199 7	135/199 68	
First-generation <sup>c</sup>	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Continuing generation	23/30 77	11/30 37	0/30 0	69/75 92	32/74 43	28/75 37	42/75 56	6/75 8	51/75 68	
First-generation	58/76 <i>76</i>	10/75 13	5/76 7	130/140 93	42/140 30	35/140 25	53/140 38	11/140 8	82/140 59	
I prefer not to respond	5/7 71	1/7 14	0/7 0	8/9 89	3/9 33	4/9 44	7/9 78	0/9 <i>0</i>	9/9 100	
Race/ethnicity <sup>d</sup>	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Asian	7/13 54	2/13 15	0/13 0	22/22 100	10/22 45	6/22 27	14/22 64	3/22 14	17/22 77	
Black or African American	15/20 75	2/20 10	2/20 10	20/22 91	5/22 23	10/22 45	9/22 41	0/22 0	13/22 59	
Hispanic, Latina/o, Latine, or Latinx	58/72 81	11/71 15	2/72 3	132/143 92	47/142 33	42/143 29	62/143 43	10/143 7	86/143 60	
Indigenous, American Indian, etc.	2/2 100	1/2 50	0/2 0	6/9 67	2/8 25	3/9 33	3/9 33	0/9 <i>0</i>	4/9 44	
Middle Eastern or North African	1/2 50	0/2 0	0/2 0	8/8 100	5/8 63	4/8 50	5/8 63	2/8 25	6/8 75	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1/1 100	1/1 100	0/1 0	4/4 100	1/4 25	1/4 25	4/4 100	0/4 0	3/4 75	
White	22/25 88	7/25 28	1/25 4	59/65 91	24/65 37	21/65 32	36/65 55	4/65 6	41/65 63	
Another race or ethnicity	0/1 0	0/1 0	0/1 0	2/2 100	1/2 50	1/2 50	1/2 50	0/2 0	1/2 50	
I prefer not to respond	0/1 0	0/1 0	0/1 0	3/3 100	0/3 0	0/3 0	1/3 33	0/3 0	3/3 100	



Disaggregated Results
University of La Verne

## Participation in High-Impact Practices by Student Social Identities and Experiences

Examining participation rates for different groups offers insight into how engagement varies within your student population. The table below displays participation in each HIP by selected social identities and experiences. Details include the number of HIP participants (N), the number within the group who responded to the item (total), and the group participation percentage (%).

		First-year		Senior						
	Service-	Learning	Research with	Service-	Learning	Research with	Internship or	Study	Culminating	
	Learning	Community	Faculty	Learning	Community	Faculty	Field Experience	Abroad	Senior Experience	
International status	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Not an international student	84/111 76	21/110 19	5/111 5	203/220 92	76/219 35	67/220 30	101/220 46	16/220 7	140/220 64	
International student	2/2 100	1/2 50	0/2 0	3/3 100	1/3 33	0/3 0	1/3 33	1/3 33	2/3 67	
Gender identity <sup>d</sup>	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Woman	58/79 73	16/79 20	3/79 4	142/149 95	55/148 37	44/149 30	70/149 47	13/149 9	99/149 66	
Man	22/26 85	5/25 20	2/26 8	60/69 87	20/69 29	21/69 30	29/69 42	4/69 <i>6</i>	38/69 55	
Trans/Transgender	2/2 100	1/2 50	0/2 0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	
Agender or gender neutral	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	
Demigender	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	
Genderqueer, non-binary, etc.	4/4 100	2/4 50	1/4 25	4/4 100	2/4 50	1/4 25	2/4 50	0/4 0	4/4 100	
Two-spirit	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	
Cis/Cisgender	5/6 83	2/6 33	0/6 0	10/11 91	4/11 36	3/11 27	5/11 45	1/11 9	8/11 73	
Questioning or unsure	1/1 100	0/1 0	0/1 0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	
Another gender identity	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	
I prefer not to respond	2/3 67	0/3 0	0/3 0	3/4 75	1/4 25	1/4 25	2/4 50	0/4 0	3/4 75	
Sexual orientation <sup>d</sup>	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Straight or heterosexual	62/80 78	12/80 15	3/80 4	166/181 92	63/181 35	54/181 30	82/181 45	14/181 <i>8</i>	112/181 62	
Bisexual	11/14 79	4/14 29	0/14 0	14/15 93	7/15 47	7/15 47	6/15 40	3/15 20	10/15 67	
Lesbian	3/3 100	0/3 0	0/3 0	5/5 100	1/5 20	1/5 20	3/5 60	0/5 <i>0</i>	4/5 80	
Gay	0/0	0/0	0/0	2/2 100	0/2 0	0/2 0	1/2 50	0/2 0	1/2 50	
Queer	2/3 67	1/3 33	0/3 0	2/3 67	1/3 33	0/3 0	2/3 67	0/3 0	2/3 67	
Pansexual or polysexual	3/3 100	2/3 67	1/3 33	5/5 100	2/5 40	0/5 <i>0</i>	2/5 40	0/5 <i>0</i>	4/5 80	
Ace, gray, or asexual	3/3 100	1/3 33	0/3 0	2/3 67	0/3 0	1/3 33	1/3 33	0/3 0	2/3 67	
Demisexual	0/0	0/0	0/0	1/1 100	1/1 100	1/1 100	0/1 0	0/1 0	1/1 100	
Questioning or unsure	1/2 50	0/1 0	0/2 0	2/2 100	1/2 50	0/2 0	1/2 50	0/2 0	2/2 100	
Another sexual orientation	0/1 0	0/1 0	0/1 0	1/1 100	0/1 0	1/1 100	0/1 0	0/1 0	1/1 100	
I prefer not to respond	4/8 50	3/8 38	1/8 13	12/13 92	2/12 17	4/13 31	6/13 46	0/13 0	8/13 62	
Age <sup>b</sup>	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
FY 21+, Seniors 25+	3/5 60	2/5 40	0/5 <i>0</i>	73/84 87	14/83 17	14/84 17	18/83 22	5/84 6	32/84 38	
FY < 21, Seniors < 25	88/117 75	22/116 19	5/117 4	144/150 96	68/149 46	58/150 39	91/150 61	12/150 8	118/150 79	



# Disaggregated Results University of La Verne

### Participation in High-Impact Practices by Student Social Identities and Experiences

Examining participation rates for different groups offers insight into how engagement varies within your student population. The table below displays participation in each HIP by selected social identities and experiences. Details include the number of HIP participants (N), the number within the group who responded to the item (total), and the group participation percentage (%).

	First-year			Senior						
_	Service-	Learning	Research with	Service-	Learning	Research with	Internship or	Study	Culminating	
	Learning	Community	Faculty	Learning	Community	Faculty	Field Experience	Abroad	Senior Experience	
Disability status <sup>d</sup>	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Sensory disability	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	
Physical disability	0/0	0/0	0/0	1/1 100	0/1 0	0/1 0	0/1 0	0/1 0	1/1 100	
Mental health or develop. disability	6/7 86	2/7 29	0/7 0	10/11 91	4/11 36	5/11 45	7/11 64	3/11 27	9/11 82	
Another disability or condition	1/2 50	0/2 0	0/2 0	2/2 100	2/2 100	2/2 100	2/2 100	0/2 0	2/2 100	
Multiple types of disab. or cond.	7/8 88	2/8 25	0/8 0	17/20 85	3/20 15	7/20 35	6/20 30	0/20 0	11/20 55	
No disability or condition	67/90 74	17/89 19	5/90 6	166/178 93	64/178 36	48/178 27	82/178 46	14/178 <i>8</i>	111/178 62	
I prefer not to respond	5/6 83	1/6 17	0/6 0	9/10 90	4/10 40	4/10 40	5/10 50	0/10 <i>0</i>	7/10 70	
Residence	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Not on campus	55/72 76	16/71 23	3/72 4	165/181 91	60/181 33	50/181 28	79/181 44	11/181 6	108/181 60	
On campus	31/41 76	6/41 15	2/41 5	40/41 98	16/40 40	17/41 41	22/41 54	6/41 15	33/41 80	
Athlete status	N/total %	N/total %	N/total 0	N/total %	N/total 0	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Not an athlete	74/98 76	22/97 23	5/98 5	189/205 <i>92</i>	73/203 36	62/205 30	92/204 45	16/205 8	132/205 64	
Student-athlete	12/15 80	0/15 <i>0</i>	0/15 0	17/18 94	4/18 22	5/18 28	10/18 56	1/18 6	10/18 56	
Greek membership	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Not a member	82/107 77	21/106 20	4/107 4	182/199 91	62/198 31	56/199 28	90/199 45	13/199 7	122/199 61	
Member	4/5 80	1/5 20	1/5 20	22/22 100	14/22 64	11/22 50	12/22 55	4/22 18	19/22 86	
Military status	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
No military service	85/110 77	21/109 19	5/110 5	192/205 94	73/203 36	64/205 31	95/204 47	16/205 8	130/205 63	
Current or former military service	1/2 50	1/2 50	0/2 0	14/18 78	3/18 17	3/18 17	6/18 33	1/18 6	11/18 61	
Satisfaction <sup>e</sup>	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	N/total %	
Fair or poor	15/17 88	2/17 12	1/17 6	23/27 85	4/26 15	8/27 30	10/26 38	1/27 4	16/27 59	
Good or excellent	71/97 73	22/96 23	4/97 4	188/201 94	75/200 38	61/201 30	94/201 47	16/201 8	130/201 65	
Overall	91/122 77	24/121 19	5/122 5	217/234 91	82/232 33	72/234 30	109/233 44	17/234 7	150/234 60	

Notes: Results are of those responding "done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage responding that at least "some" courses included a community-based project. Results are unweighted, except for overall percentages which are weighted by sex and enrollment status if applicable. Percentages are within-group and can be read, for example, "X% of business major respondents participated in a learning community."

a. NSSE's default categories, based on first major if more than one was reported. Customizable major categories are available in your NSSE Tableau dashboards and Report Builder (released in the fall). Excludes majors categorized as "all other."

b. Institution-reported variable.

c. No parent, guardian, or person who raised you holds a bachelor's degree.

d. Select-all-that-apply item; students may be represented in more than one category.

e. Based on responses to "How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this institution?"