

# NSSE 2025 Topical Module Report Development of Transferable Skills

### University of La Verne

Adapted from a pilot survey that was developed by the American Association of State Colleges and Universities, this module examines activities that develop useful and transferable skills for the workplace and beyond (such as verbal and written fluency, critical thinking, creative thinking, problem solving, project management, and time management). The module complements questions on the core survey about higher-order learning, reflective and integrative learning, and students' perceptions of their development in a variety of areas.

Comparison group details are in the Selected Comparison Groups report, available in PDF format in your report download folder.

Prepared 2025-07-24 IPEDS: 117140

This page intentionally left blank.



# Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of La Verne

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>			ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical C	Comparisons <sup>b</sup>
				ULV		Peers US	A	ULV	Peers USA
Item wording or description	Variable	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Effect Mean Size <sup>d</sup>
	name						/0	ivieuri	Mean size
<ol> <li>During the current school year, va.</li> <li>Discussed or debated an issue of</li> </ol>	whether cours TRNdebate	e-related	Never	have you do	ne the 21	following?	11		
social, political, or philosophical	TRINGEDALE	2	Sometimes	52	46	274	39		
importance		3	Often	27	28	274	31	2.2	2 ( )
		4	Very often	6	5	155	20	4.2	2.6 ***47
		4	Total	107	100	725	100		•
b. Made a speech to a group	TRNspeech	1	Never	39	34	137	17		
b. Made a speech to a group	TKNspeech	2	Sometimes	45	41	289	40		
		3	Often	20	22	189	28	2.0	2.4 statuta 40
				4	4	108	15	2.0	2.4 ***48
		4	Very often Total	108	100	723	100		▼
c. Worked in a group with people	TPNgroup	1	Never	110	9	33	5		
who differed from you in terms of	TRNgroup	2	Sometimes	48	43	224	31		
background, political orientation,								2.5	
points of view, etc.		3	Often	39	40 8	304	42	2.5	2.8 ***40
		4	Very often	10		161	22		▼
1.5	mpyr it i		Total	108	100	722	100		
d. Discussed the ethical consequences of a course of action	TRNethical	1	Never	17	16	83	12		
of a course of action		2	Sometimes	45	41	281	40	2.2	
		3	Often	40	39	242	33	2.3	2.5 *22
		4	Very often	6	4	117	15		$\nabla$
	mp.v. i		Total	108	100	723	100		
Evaluated the credibility of information sources	TRNcred	1	Never	10	9	39	5		
mormation sources		2	Sometimes	38	32	206	30		
		3	Often	44	46	324	45	2.6	2.820
		4	Very often	16	13	154	20		
			Total	108	100	723	100		
f. Discussed complex problems with	TRNcomplex	1	Never	16	15	57	8		
others to develop a better solution		2	Sometimes	40	35	231	33		
		3	Often	40	41	267	37	2.4	2.7 **31
		4	Very often	11	9	167	21		▼
-			Total	107	100	722	100		
<ul> <li>g. Generated multiple solutions to a problem or task</li> </ul>	TRNsolution	1	Never	12	11	29	4		
problem of task		2	Sometimes	43	39	252	36		
		3	Often	44	44	288	40	2.5	2.8 ***36
		4	Very often	9	6	151	20		▼
			Total	108	100	720	100		
h. Combined dissimilar concepts to	TRNcreate	1	Never	27	23	135	19		
create a novel idea		2	Sometimes	51	46	312	43		
		3	Often	25	27	200	28	2.1	2.318
		4	Very often	5	4	70	9		
			Total	108	100	717	100		
i. Adapted a previously used solution	TRNadapt	1	Never	24	21	77	11		
to a new situation		2	Sometimes	43	37	268	37		
		3	Often	33	35	269	38	2.3	2.5 **31
		4	Very often	8	7	107	14		▼
			Total	108	100	721	100		



# Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of La Verne

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>			Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
				ULV		Peers US	A	ULV	Peers	USA
Variable Item wording or description name		Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>
j. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlsolve	1	Never	9	6	31	4	Wear	ivieuri	5,20
forum, webpage, etc.) to solve a		2	Sometimes	39	36	201	30			
problem		3	Often	45	44	281	39	2.7	2.9 **	29
		4	Very often	15	14	203	27	2.,	Z.5	2)
			Total	108	100	716	100		•	
k. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlskill	1	Never	8	6	32	4			
forum, webpage, etc.) to learn a		2	Sometimes	38	34	230	33			
new skill or procedure		3	Often	44	44	277	38	2.7	2.8	13
		4	Very often	18	17	182	24		2.0	.13
			Total	108	100	721	100			
Used project management tools to	TRNproject	1	Never	12	10	79	12			
plan, organize, or schedule tasks		2	Sometimes	41	39	245	36			
		3	Often	39	40	252	34	2.5	2.6	05
		4	Very often	15	12	142	18		2.0	.00
			Total	107	100	718	100			
m. Used a daily or weekly "to do" list	TRNtodo	1	Never	12	12	77	13			
		2	Sometimes	36	34	169	25			
		3	Often	28	28	182	25	2.7	2.9	19
		4	Very often	32	26	291	37		2.7	,
			Total	108	100	719	100			
n. Prioritized what tasks need to be	TRNtasks	1	Never	2	1	15	3			
accomplished		2	Sometimes	22	21	104	16			
		3	Often	40	42	262	37	3.1	3.2	14
		4	Very often	42	35	339	44			
			Total	106	100	720	100			
o. Worked longer hours than usual to	TRNhours	1	Never	6	5	61	9			
meet deadlines (i.e., after midnight,		2	Sometimes	34	31	212	30			
before dawn)		3	Often	31	33	201	29	2.9	2.8	.06
		4	Very often	37	31	243	32			
			Total	108	100	717	100			
p. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrsource	1	Never	1	1	17	2			
article, etc.) that used information		2	Sometimes	29	25	156	23			
from a variety of sources (books,		3	Often	41	44	291	41	3.1	3.1	01
journals, Internet, databases, etc.)		4	Very often	37	31	256	34			
			Total	108	100	720	100			
q. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrassess	1	Never	8	8	87	12			
article, etc.) that assessed the		2	Sometimes	37	31	246	35			
conclusions of a published work		3	Often	44	44	238	34	2.7	2.6	.11
		4	Very often	19	17	147	19			
			Total	108	100	718	100			



# Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of La Verne

				Frequen	cy D	istributio	Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
				ULV		Peers USA		ULV	Peers USA	
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>
r. Written something (paper, report, article, etc.) that included ideas	TRNwrideas	1	Never	7	6	86	11			<del></del>
		2	Sometimes	38	34	272	39			
from more than one academic discipline		3	Often	43	41	222	31	2.7	2.6	.18
discipline		4	Very often	19	18	138	18			
			Total	107	100	718	100			
s. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrviews	1	Never	6	6	41	6			
article, etc.) that presented multiple		2	Sometimes	37	32	252	36			
viewpoints or perspectives		3	Often	42	43	270	38	2.7	2.7	.01
		4	Very often	22	19	153	20			
			Total	107	100	716	100			



# Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of La Verne

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>			Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
				ULV		Peers US	A	ULV	Peers	USA
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>
1. During the current school year, v	whether cours	e-related	or not, about how often	have you do	ne the	following?				
a. Discussed or debated an issue of	TRNdebate	1	Never	44	23	99	15			
social, political, or philosophical		2	Sometimes	79	34	262	37			
importance		3	Often	62	27	207	29	2.4	2.5 *	16
		4	Very often	36	17	150	20		$\nabla$	
			Total	221	100	718	100			
b. Made a speech to a group	TRNspeech	1	Never	54	25	132	18			
		2	Sometimes	82	36	272	36			
		3	Often	62	28	174	24	2.3	2.5 **	22
		4	Very often	24	11	141	21		$\nabla$	
			Total	222	100	719	100			
c. Worked in a group with people	TRNgroup	1	Never	16	9	57	8			
who differed from you in terms of		2	Sometimes	62	29	248	33			
background, political orientation,		3	Often	91	39	256	36	2.8	2.7	.04
points of view, etc.		4	Very often	52	24	159	23			
			Total	221	100	720	100			
d. Discussed the ethical consequences	TRNethical	1	Never	25	13	88	13			
of a course of action		2	Sometimes	80	36	259	37			
		3	Often	76	34	244	34	2.6	2.6	.01
		4	Very often	41	18	127	17	_,,	2.0	.01
			Total	222	100	718	100			
e. Evaluated the credibility of	TRNcred	1	Never	21	11	45	7			
information sources		2	Sometimes	50	22	202	29			
		3	Often	82	35	280	39	2.9	2.8	.03
		4	Very often	69	31	191	25	2.7	2.0	.03
		•	Total	222	100	718	100			
f. Discussed complex problems with	TRNcomplex	1	Never	22	11	46	7			
others to develop a better solution		2	Sometimes	64	29	206	29			
		3	Often	87	38	287	41	2.7	2.8	10
		4	Very often	49	23	176	23	4.1	2.6	10
		•	Total	222	100	715	100			
g. Generated multiple solutions to a	TRNsolution	1	Never	14	8	38	5			
problem or task	Tre (solution	2	Sometimes	66	29	202	28			
		3	Often	93	40	294	42	2.8	2.9	08
		4	Very often	48	23	182	24	2.0	2.9	06
			Total	221	100	716	100			
h. Combined dissimilar concepts to	TRNcreate	1	Never	39	19	136	20			<del></del>
create a novel idea	TTG TOTAL	2	Sometimes	94	41	300	42			
		3	Often	60	26	175	24	2.4	2.2	02
		4	Very often	29	14	107	15	4.4	2.3	.03
		r	Total	222	100	718	100			
i. Adapted a previously used solution	TRNadapt	1	Never	22	11	64	9			
to a new situation	11x1xauapt	2	Sometimes	88	38	248	34			
		3	Often	74	33	265	39	2.6	2.7	00
		4	Very often	37	18	135	18	4.0	2.7	09
		4	•							
		_	Total	221	100	712	100			



# Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of La Verne

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>			Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
				ULV		Peers US	A	ULV	Peers	USA
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values <sup>c</sup> Response options		Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>
<ul> <li>j. Referred to online content (tutorial, forum, webpage, etc.) to solve a problem</li> </ul>	TRNonlsolve	1 2 3 4	Never Sometimes Often Very often Total	9 66 77 69 221	6 29 33 32 100	23 148 282 262 715	3 20 41 36 100	2.9	3.1 ** ∇	23
k. Referred to online content (tutorial, forum, webpage, etc.) to learn a new skill or procedure	TRNonlskill	1 2 3 4	Never Sometimes Often Very often Total	8 66 77 70 221	5 29 34 32 100	27 177 263 245 712	3 25 38 34 100	2.9	3.0	12
Used project management tools to plan, organize, or schedule tasks	TRNproject	1 2 3 4	Never Sometimes Often Very often Total	16 73 83 48 220	8 34 36 22 100	76 205 260 170 711	11 29 37 24 100	2.7	2.7	02
m. Used a daily or weekly "to do" list	TRNtodo	1 2 3 4	Never Sometimes Often Very often Total	18 65 50 86 219	9 31 23 37 100	69 164 157 323 713	10 25 23 42 100	2.9	3.0	10
n. Prioritized what tasks need to be accomplished	TRNtasks	1 2 3 4	Never Sometimes Often Very often Total	2 37 70 111 220	1 18 32 48 100	7 87 224 392 710	1 13 32 54 100	3.3	3.4	15
o. Worked longer hours than usual to meet deadlines (i.e., after midnight, before dawn)	TRNhours	1 2 3 4	Never Sometimes Often Very often Total	9 56 71 84 220	4 25 33 38 100	50 184 189 292 715	6 27 28 39 100	3.1	3.0	.05
p. Written something (paper, report, article, etc.) that used information from a variety of sources (books, journals, Internet, databases, etc.)	TRNwrsource	1 2 3 4	Never Sometimes Often Very often Total	5 35 70 110 220	3 17 32 48 100	33 128 226 325 712	5 19 33 43 100	3.3	3.1	.13
q. Written something (paper, report, article, etc.) that assessed the conclusions of a published work	TRNwrassess	1 2 3 4	Never Sometimes Often Very often Total	22 46 73 77 218	11 22 34 34 100	78 214 216 204 712	11 32 31 27 100	2.9	2.7 * <u>\times</u>	.17



# Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of La Verne

				Frequen	cy D	istributio	Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
				ULV		Peers US	Δ	ULV	Peers USA	
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>
r. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrideas	1	Never	14	6	71	11			
article, etc.) that included ideas		2	Sometimes	53	25	230	32			
from more than one academic discipline		3	Often	70	33	227	33	3.0	2.7 ***	.29
шветрине		4	Very often	80	36	186	24		Δ	
			Total	217	100	714	100			
s. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrviews	1	Never	13	7	67	9			
article, etc.) that presented multiple		2	Sometimes	50	22	233	34			
viewpoints or perspectives		3	Often	84	38	228	33	3.0	2.7 ***	.27
		4	Very often	73	33	185	24		Δ	
			Total	220	100	713	100			



# Detailed Statistics<sup>e</sup> University of La Verne

						Stan	dard			Effect
	N	Me	an	Standar	d error <sup>f</sup>	devia	tion <sup>g</sup>	$\mathbf{DF}^{h}$	Sig.	size <sup>d</sup>
Variable								Comparisons with		
name	ULV	ULV	Peers USA	ULV	Peers USA	ULV Peers USA		Peers USA		
TRNdebate	109	2.18	2.60	.079	.031	.82	.92	144	.000	47
TRNspeech	109	1.96	2.40	.081	.032	.84	.94	145	.000	48
TRNgroup	109	2.47	2.80	.074	.028	.77	.83	142	.000	40
TRNethical	109	2.31	2.51	.076	.030	.79	.89	145	.018	22
TRNcred	109	2.63	2.80	.079	.028	.83	.82	137	.052	20
TRNcomplex	109	2.44	2.72	.081	.030	.85	.89	139	.002	31
TRNsolution	109	2.46	2.75	.074	.028	.77	.82	141	.000	36
TRNcreate	109	2.11	2.27	.076	.030	.80	.87	144	.059	18
TRNadapt	109	2.27	2.54	.083	.030	.87	.87	137	.003	31
TRNonlsolve	109	2.65	2.89	.076	.029	.79	.85	142	.004	29
TRNonlskill	109	2.71	2.83	.077	.029	.81	.85	141	.173	13
TRNproject	109	2.53	2.58	.079	.031	.82	.91	143	.581	05
TRNtodo	109	2.66	2.86	.095	.036	1.00	1.05	141	.053	19
TRNtasks	108	3.11	3.22	.075	.028	.78	.81	137	.175	14
TRNhours	109	2.90	2.83	.087	.034	.91	.98	143	.512	.06
TRNwrsource	109	3.05	3.06	.073	.028	.77	.81	141	.920	01
TRNwrassess	109	2.71	2.61	.081	.032	.84	.93	144	.266	.11
TRNwrideas	109	2.72	2.56	.080	.031	.83	.91	143	.057	.18
TRNwrviews	108	2.74	2.73	.081	.029	.84	.85	136	.887	.01



# Detailed Statistics<sup>e</sup> University of La Verne

						Stan	dard			Effect
	N	Me	an	Standar	d error <sup>f</sup>	devia	tion <sup>g</sup>	$\mathbf{DF}^{h}$	Sig.i	size <sup>d</sup>
Variable								Compo	arisons with:	
name	ULV	ULV	Peers USA	ULV	Peers USA	ULV	Peers USA	Pe	ers USA	
TRNdebate	221	2.37	2.53	.068	.031	1.01	.97	319	.036	16
TRNspeech	222	2.26	2.49	.064	.033	.96	1.02	347	.002	22
TRNgroup	221	2.77	2.74	.061	.029	.91	.90	327	.593	.04
TRNethical	222	2.56	2.55	.063	.030	.93	.91	327	.934	.01
TRNcred	222	2.85	2.83	.066	.029	.99	.88	309	.709	.03
TRNcomplex	222	2.72	2.81	.063	.028	.94	.87	316	.182	10
TRNsolution	221	2.79	2.86	.060	.027	.89	.85	319	.274	08
TRNcreate	222	2.36	2.34	.063	.031	.94	.96	334	.712	.03
TRNadapt	221	2.58	2.66	.062	.029	.92	.88	322	.255	09
TRNonlsolve	221	2.91	3.11	.062	.026	.91	.81	306	.004	23
TRNonlskill	221	2.92	3.03	.061	.028	.90	.85	317	.116	12
TRNproject	219	2.72	2.74	.061	.031	.90	.94	338	.829	02
TRNtodo	218	2.87	2.98	.069	.034	1.02	1.04	328	.162	10
TRNtasks	219	3.28	3.39	.054	.024	.80	.74	310	.054	15
TRNhours	219	3.05	3.00	.060	.031	.89	.96	345	.471	.05
TRNwrsource	219	3.26	3.14	.056	.029	.83	.89	344	.065	.13
TRNwrassess	217	2.91	2.74	.067	.032	.99	.98	319	.022	.17
TRNwrideas	217	2.98	2.71	.063	.031	.93	.95	327	.000	.29
TRNwrviews	219	2.98	2.72	.061	.030	.91	.93	333	.000	.27



## Endnotes University of La Verne

#### **Endnotes**

- a. Column percentages are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding. Counts are unweighted; column percentages cannot be replicated from counts. Comparison group details are in the Selected Comparison Groups report, linked in the Data & Reports table on the Institution Interface.
- b. All statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Unless otherwise noted, statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests. Items with categorical response sets are left blank.
- c. These are the values used to calculate means. For the majority of items, these values match the codes in the data file and codebook.
- d. Effect size for independent t-tests uses Cohen's d; z-tests use Cohen's h.
- e. Statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Categorical items are not listed.
- f. The 95% confidence interval for the population mean is equal to the sample mean plus or minus 1.96 times the standard error of the mean.
- g. A measure of the amount individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
- h. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values differ from Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
- Statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests or z-tests. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between your students' mean and that of the students in the comparison group is due to chance.
- j. Statistical comparison uses z-test to compare the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes" with all who responded otherwise
- k. Mean represents the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes."

#### Key to symbols:

- **Your students' average** was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- $\nabla$  Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- **Your students' average** was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

Note: It is important to interpret the direction of differences relative to item wording and your institutional context. You may not see all of these symbols in your report.